UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,248	11/08/2001	William Russell Belknap	SVL920010059US1/A8506	5036
SUGHRUE MION PLLC USPTO CUSTOMER NO WITH IBM/SVL 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2009	PAPER

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

# Ex parte WILLIAM RUSSELL BELKNAP and STEVEN VICTOR KAUFFMAN

\_\_\_\_

Application No. 09/986,248 Technology Center: 2100

Mailed: March 26, 2009

\_\_\_\_

Before GLORIA HENDERSON, Review Team Paralegal HENDERSON, Review Team Paralegal.

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on February 10, 2009. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

An examination of the Image File Wrapper (IFW) reveals that an ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER was mailed January 30, 2009, which requested correction for the Appeal Brief/Grounds of Rejection, Examiner's Answer/Grounds of Rejection and consideration of the Reply Brief. The file was electronically returned to the BPAI in which the examiner only responded to the Reply Brief. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

#### APPEAL BRIEF/GROUNDS OF REJECTION

On July 2, 2008, appellants filed an Appeal Brief. A review of the file reveals that under the Argument Section, states that Claims 1-3, 6-10, 13-15, 18-23, 25-29 and 34-36 are listed as being rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)....., which is not consistent as amended in the Amendment filed February 7, 2008. An Advisory Action filed by the examiner on April 29, 2008, states that the amendments would be entered. The amendment filed February 7, 2008 canceled claim 6. Appropriate correction is required, for clarification of the record,

A Supplemental Appeal Brief is required for the claims appendix.

## **EXAMINER'S ANSWER/GROUNDS OF REJECTION**

Also, the Examiner's Answer mailed October 6, 2008 is defective, since it states under the Grounds of Rejection that Claims 1-3, 6-10, 13-15, 18-23, 25-29

Application No. 09/986,248

and 34-36 as being rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)...... The amendment filed February 7, 2008 canceled claim 6. Appropriate correction is required, for clarification of the record,

#### CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is being electronically returned to the Examiner:

- 1) 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed July 2, 2008, defective;
- 2) notify appellants to file a Supplemental Appeal Brief with a the correct information for the claims under the Argument section of the Appeal Brief;
- 3) consider the Supplemental Appeal Brief and if the Supplemental Appeal Brief is in compliance, issue and mail a Form PTOL-90 acknowledging receipt and consideration of the Supplement Brief;
- 4) vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed October 6, 2008, and mail a supplemental Examiner's Answer with the correct information for the claims under the Grounds of Rejection; and
  - 5) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

**GJH** 

# Application No. 09/986,248

SUGHRUE MION,PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037